Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/615,772	CARROLL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew Heneghan	2134	

All Participants:

(1) *Matthew Heneghan*.

(2) *Edmond DeFrank*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *8 August 2005*

Time: *4:50 PM EDT*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
62

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner stated that, upon further review, claim 62 would only be allowable if an additaional Examiner's amendment were entered. An amendment was agreed upon.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)